

EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION

Application number: 87305948.9

Int. Cl. G06F 9/44, G06F 15/60,
 G06F 11/26

Date of filing: 06.07.87

Priority: 01.09.86 GB 8621061

Date of publication of application:
 06.04.88 Bulletin 88/14

Designated Contracting States:
 DE FR GB


Applicant: Hewlett-Packard Company
 P.O. Box 10301 3000 Hanover Street
 Palo Alto California 94303-0890(US)

Inventor: Love, Simon
 11 Peache Road
 Downend Bristol BS16 5RN(GB)
 Inventor: Guy, Roger John
 19 Rownham Mead
 Bristol BS8 4YA(GB)
 Inventor: Boswell, Elizabeth Marian Calvert
 Church Cottage Church Lane
 Burton Chippenham Wiltshire SN14 7LS(GB)

Representative: Squibbs, Robert Francis
 Hewlett-Packard Limited Nine Mile Ride
 Wokingham Berkshire RG11 3LL(GB)

User interface simulation and management for program-controlled apparatus.

An interactive graphical tool is provided for designing the user interface of a program-controlled instrument (30). The tool runs on a computer workstation (15 to 19) and is used to model the application code of the instrument as a first network in which sessions of user interaction with the application code are represented by respective elements of the network. The actual user interaction sessions themselves are modelled by respective second networks; each second network includes information for defining the interface states of the modelled user interaction session. The full user interface can thereafter be simulated by progressing through the first model until a user interaction element is met and then entering the corresponding second network; the interface state information contained in the second network is used to drive a simulation of the instrument interface on the display of the computer workstation. The separation of the modelling of the application code from that of the user interaction sessions facilitates modification of the interface simulation.



USER INTERFACE SIMULATION AND MANAGEMENT FOR PROGRAM-CONTROLLED APPARATUS

The present invention relates to the simulation and management of the user interface of a program-controlled apparatus and, in particular, but not exclusively, to an interactive graphical tool for designing user interfaces on a computer workstation.

Any interactive system, such as many modern-day instruments, can be seen as having two components, namely a user interface and the system-executed, or application, tasks. In the past, the system functioning required to execute the application tasks has been the focus of product development with interface design being secondary. With the increasing complexity of interactive systems, it has been recognised that the design of the user interface is at least as important as that of the underlying functionality of the system and, indeed, in commercial terms, possibly more important.

Considerable efforts have therefore been made recently to develop suitable tools for user interface design and evaluation. One approach adopted has been to use augmented state transition networks to model the functioning of the interface. Thus, Wasserman has developed a methodology for interface design, known as the USE methodology, that incorporates both user-interaction actions and apparatus-executed tasks in a single transition network with the provision for sub-networks (see "The Role of Prototypes in the User Software Methodology", Wasserman and Shewmake; published in "Advances in Human Computer Interaction", Ablex Publishing Co., 1984). Similarly, Alty has developed an interface modelling system, known as the CONNECT system, which is based upon a combination of a transition network and a production rule system (see "The Application of Path Algebras to Interactive Dialogue Design" published in Behaviour and Information Technology, 1984, Vol3, No.2).

It is an object of the present invention to provide an interface design tool which facilitates the creation and modification of user-interface models and the generation of interface simulations.

Summary of the Invention

According to one aspect of the present invention, there is provided a method of simulating, on a computer, a user interface for a program-controlled apparatus arranged to operate in accordance with a program that includes both apparatus-executed tasks and sessions of user interaction intended to be effected via input and output means of said apparatus, said method comprising the steps of:

-generating and storing a first network model representing said program with each session of user interaction being indicated by a corresponding element of said first model;

-generating and storing a set of second network models each representing a respective one of said interaction sessions, each second network model comprising a network of elements each having an associated set of parameters defining a simulation of a desired state of said output means,

- running a simulation of the user interface by advancing through said first network model and upon encountering a said user-interaction element, entering and following through the appropriate one of said second network models, the said set of parameters associated with each said network model being used to construct, on output means of the computer, a simulation of the desired state of the output means of said apparatus.

By separating out the user-interaction sessions into respective network models, modification of the interface design is greatly facilitated.

Preferably, during passage through a said second model while running a simulation of the interface, the output means of the computer is used to display simultaneously both the second model and the simulation of the current state of the output means of said apparatus.

Generally, a number of different possible paths will exist through each second network. To provide for this situation during running of the simulation, the step of interface simulation advantageously includes, during passage through a multiple-path second network model, the determination of the path to be followed through the model by operator input to the computer to indicate the path desired. This user input may indicate the desired path directly by reference to the second model. Alternatively, the user input may simulate a possible input of the interface under design, this input being then compared against pre-stored path-selection criteria to determine the subsequent path to be followed through the second model.

Preferably, the step of generating and storing a set of second network models is repeated to provide simulations of a plurality of different sets of user interaction sessions, the step of simulating the user interface including the selection of one of said sets of second network models from which to call up the second network model to be used upon a user-interaction element being encountered during progress through said first model.

To facilitate the simulation of various different types of output means, a library of simulations is advantageously provided, one parameter of each said set of parameters used to define a desired output state serving to indicate the relevant library simulation.

According to another aspect of the present invention, there is provided, in a program-controlled apparatus having input and output means for permitting user interaction sessions with an application program intended to be run or modelled on said apparatus, an arrangement for managing the user/program interaction comprising:

- first network modelling means representing said program with each session of user interaction being indicated by a corresponding element of said first modelling means;
- a set of second network modelling means each representing a respective one of said interaction sessions, each second network modelling means comprising a network of elements each having an associated set of parameters defining a desired state of said output means,
- control means arranged to utilise said first modelling means to control the actual or simulated running of the application program, said control means being operative upon encountering a said user-interaction element in the first modelling means, to refer to the appropriate one of said second network modelling means to control the said output means in executing a user interaction session, the said set of parameters associated with each said element of the second modelling means being used to set the output means in its desired state.

The program-controlled apparatus may be a computer work-station being used to model a user interface for an item of equipment, or it may be a piece of production equipment whose operation is managed by reference to network models.

Brief Description of the Drawings

A user-interface design tool embodying the invention will now be particularly described, by way of non-limiting example, with reference to the accompanying drawings, in which:

Figure 1 is a diagrammatic representation of the hardware and software components both of the interface design tool itself and of an instrument for which a user interface is to be designed;

Figure 2 is an augmented transition network modelling the application program intended to drive the instrument whose user-interface is to be designed;

Figure 3A & B are augmented transition networks modelling user-interaction sessions that occur during running of the instrument application program;

Figure 4 is a diagram illustrating the data structures used by the interface design tool to store the network models of Figures 2 and 3;

Figure 5 is a flowchart of a main control program of the interface design tool software;

Figure 6 is a flowchart showing part of a network editor program of the design tool software;

Figure 7 is a diagram showing the functional relationship of a simulation program of the design tool software with other software components of the tool;

Figure 8 is a flowchart of the simulation program;

Figure 9 is a diagram representing the functional inter-relationship of the various software components of an instrument whose user-interface is managed in accordance with the present invention.

Description of the Preferred Embodiment

As shown in Figure 1, the user-interface design tool now to be described comprises both hardware components and software components. The hardware components are the standard components of a computer workstation and comprise a processor box 15, a disc storage device 16, a first input device in the form of keyboard 17, a second input device in the form of a mouse 18, and a display unit 19. In standard manner, the processor box 15 comprises a central processing unit 20 interfacing via a bus system 23 with memory (namely, volatile RAM memory 21, non-volatile ROM memory 22 and the disc device 16) and also with the display unit 19 via a display controller 24, with the keyboard 17 via a first I/O controller 25, and with the mouse 18 via a second I/O controller 26.

The software components of the design tool comprise the interface design tool software itself (referred to below as the IDT software) and the usual system software providing facilities such as file management, screen handling (including windowing, icons, pulldown menus), and servicing of the keyboard and mouse input devices. The IDT software itself comprises three main elements, namely, a main control program 27, a network editor program 28 (referred to below as the NEED program) and a simulation program 29 (referred to as the NEST program).

In order to facilitate an understanding of the operation of the interface design tool, the following description of the tool is made with reference to the design of an interface for a hypothetical instrument 30 depicted in Figure 1. This hypothetical instru-

ment is intended to check whether a voltage or current measure at a specified test point is within predetermined limits, the results of this determination being output to the user. In hardware terms, the instrument comprises probes 31 circuitry (not shown) for executing the measurement and determination functions of the instrument, a user interface constituted by a touch screen 32 and an input keypad 33, and a microprocessor (not shown) for controlling the overall operation of the instrument. The touchscreen 32 is designed to receive user input via softkeys 34 and to output to the user by setting legends on the softkeys and by text line display on the rest of the screen. The microprocessor hardware controls the components of the instrument in accordance with an application program 35 which governs both the carrying out of instrument-executed tasks (such as voltage or current measurement) and the interaction of the instrument with the user via the interface hardware.

The interface design tool is to be used to design an instrument interface which upon switch on the instrument will present the user with the following four choices (via soft keys 34) with selection of a choice resulting in the indicated action:

1) "HELP" - selection of this choice will bring up HELP text on the screen 32, the subsequent operation of a soft key labelled "Proceed" returning the user to the initial four-choice menu;

2) "V MEASURE" - selection of this choice causes the instrument to ask for the input of a test point number (the instrument supposedly storing the acceptable range of values for each test point in memory); after a test point has been input the instrument carries out the required measurement and compares the result with the pre-stored range of acceptable values and then outputs an acceptable/unacceptable indication as text on the display 32. Return to the opening menu is effected by pressing a softkey labelled "Proceed";

3) "I MEASURE" - selection of this choice results in a sequence of actions similar to that following selection of the previous choice except that a current rather than a voltage is measured;

4) "EXIT" - selection of this choice shuts down the instrument after a check is made that all test points have been tested; if this is not the case, the user is asked to confirm that he wishes to shut down the instrument.

The first step in designing a user-interface for the instrument is to model the operation of the instrument in terms of augmented transition networks with the instrument-executed tasks being modelled using a single application model that includes elements indicative of sessions of user interaction with the basic task-executing code; the sessions of user-interaction are themselves separately modelled by respective dialogue network

models. An application network modelling the functionality of the above-described hypothetical instrument is shown in Figure 2. As can be seen, the basic components of this model are nodes representing states of the instrument and depicted as square boxes in Figure 2, and arcs representing actions and indicated by arrows in Figure 2. Five types of nodes may be distinguished, as follows:

START NODE - this is the starting node of a network (see node 40 of Figure 2);

END NODE - this is the finish node of a network model (see node 48);

APPLICATION SUBNET NODE - this node represents another network

which forms a sub-network of the application model and is used to avoid any one network from becoming over complicated (see node 42 in Figure 2);

COMMUNICATION NODE - this node indicates a point in the communication network where there is a session of user-interaction, this session being modelled by a dialogue network (see node 41);

SIMPLE NODE - the purpose of this node is to facilitate routing decisions through the network and the separation of actions (see node 46).

As noted above, the application subnet nodes and the communication nodes refer to other networks. In Figure 2, these networks are identified by names given in quotation marks beneath the referencing nodes.

Each arc of the network is defined by its starting and finishing node. Accordingly, in the following description the arcs will be referred to by combining the reference numerals of their starting and finishing nodes; thus, for example, the arc extending between nodes 40 and 41 will be referenced as arc 40/41. Each arc has an associated condition for entry to the arc from its starting node. In the drawings, this condition is given in square brackets on the arc concerned. An arc condition may be set to "unconditional" in which case no condition is indicated on the arc in the drawings. The action to be undertaken by the instrument on transition of the arc is indicated by the non-bracketed label associated with the arc; the absence of the label indicates the absence of an action.

Referring now in detail to the application model of Figure 2, the desired functionality of the instrument as described above is modelled as follows. Upon switching on the instrument (node 40), a user is presented with a menu of four choices and the further operation of the instrument depends on the user selection input received in response to presentation of the menu; this session of interaction with the user is indicated in the Figure 2 application-model-by-the communication node 41 labelled "MENU". Note that the arc 40/41 has no associated condition or action. To model the user selection input, a variable "k" is used, this variable

having a value of 1, 2, 3 or 4 depending on whether the HELP, V MEASURE, I MEASURE, or EXIT OPTION is chosen. The HELP option merely involves an extension to the user-interaction session represented by the communications node 41. However, selection of any one of the other options involves instrument functionality which must be represented by an extension of the application model. The communication node 41 thus has three exit arcs 41/42, 41/44, and 41/46, the conditions on these arcs respectively being $K = 2$, (the V MEASURE option), $K = 3$ (I MEASURE option) and $K = 4$ (EXIT option).

The selection of the V MEASURE option results in the instrument carrying out a voltage measurement and comparing the result with a stored range of acceptable values. This instrument-executed task involves a series of instrument actions which can be modelled by suitable network configuration; however, rather than including this network representation in the top-level application model shown in Figure 2, for reasons of clarity this series of actions is best represented in an application sub-network indicated in the top level network by means of an application sub-network node (in the present case, node 42 labelled "V-MEAS"). Following the execution of the voltage measurement task and comparison of the result with the stored limits, the results of these tasks are displayed to the user; this display process is, of course, a user-interaction session and is represented in the application model by a communications node 43 labelled "V-DISP". The display process when terminated is then followed by a return to the selection menu; this is represented by arc 43/41 in the application.

Selection of the I MEASURE option results in instrument-executed current measurement tasks followed by a results display, this process being similar to that for voltage measurement. The current measurement process is represented in the application model by an application sub-network indicated by node 44 labelled "I-MEAS"; the user-interaction session by which the current measurement results are displayed is represented by the communications node 45 labelled "I-DISP".

The selection of the exit option from the menu results in one of two actions depending on whether or not all the test points that the instrument expects to be accessed have in reality have been examined by the user. This check is indicated in the application model as an action carried out on the " $K=4$ " arc exiting the communications node 41. In order to model the subsequent decision process a simple node 46 is positioned at the end of the " $K=4$ " arc and two exit arcs from the node 46 are provided leading to nodes 47 and 48 respectively. The node 48 is an exit node modelling shutdown of the instrument and the arc leading from node 46 to the

exit node 48 carries a condition that a variable ALLPOINTS is true, this variable being set by the checking action on arc 41/46. The arc 46/47 carries the complementary condition of ALLPOINTS being false; in this case, a user-interaction session is initiated asking the user to confirm that he really does wish to shut down the instrument, the alternative being to return to the menu. Node 47 is thus a communications node (labelled "CONFIRM" in Figure 2). The conditions on the two exit arcs from the node 47 relate to a variable CONFIRM derived from the user-interaction session modelled by the dialogue network associated with the communications node 47. When CONFIRM is true, the arc leading to the exit node 48 is taken; when CONFIRM is false, the arc leading to the "MENU" communications node 41 is taken.

It will be seen from Figure 2 that the application model modelling the instrument functionality includes four communication nodes representing four separate sessions of user-interaction. Each of these users-interaction sessions is modelled by a corresponding dialogue network model. By way of example, the dialogue model of the user-interaction session represented by the "MENU" communications node 41 will now be described with reference to Figure 3.

The elements of a dialogue model are the same as those used in an application model with the exception that application subnet nodes are, of course, not utilised in a dialogue model; it should however, be noted that hierarchical structuring of dialogue models can still be achieved since the inclusion of a communication node in a dialogue model serves this purpose, such a node being a representation of a lower-level dialogue network model. Figure 3A shows the top-level dialogue model corresponding to the "MENU" communications node. This top-level dialogue model comprises a start node 50, two simple nodes 51, 52, two communication nodes 53, 54 and an exit node 55. The action of setting up the four softkeys for menu selection is ascribed to the arc 50/51. For reasons of simplicity, this action is separated from the subsequent action of getting a softkey input by the simple node 51, the setting of the softkey input being placed on arc 51/52. The user selection of one of the softkeys is taken as setting the variable k to a value of between 1 and 4. If the HELP softkey is selected ($K=1$) then HELP text is displayed on the screen together with a "Proceed" softkey which, when activated, returns the user to the selection menu. This series of actions is modelled by providing an exit arc 52/53 from the simple node 52 with a condition of the arc of $K=1$. The node 53 is a communication node that references a dialogue model describing the HELP session; this "HELP" dialogue model is shown in Fig-

ure 3B and simply involves the actions of setting up a "PROCEED" softkey followed by display of the HELP text and the waiting for an input corresponding to activation of the "PROCEED" softkey; activation of this key leads to the screen text being blanked and exiting of the "HELP" dialogue. Following completion of the "HELP" dialogue model, an arc 53/51 is followed back to node 51, this arc carrying the action of setting up the menu softkeys.

If one of the measurement options is chosen via the menu softkeys (K=2 or 3) then the user is asked to input a number identifying the test point on which the measurement is to be made. This user-interaction is represented in Figure 3A by the communications node 54 accessed via the arc 52/54. Once a test point reference number has been input, the "MENU" interaction session is terminated to enable the instrument to carry out necessary measurements; this is modelled by the arc leading from the node 54 to the exit node 55 of the Figure 3A dialogue model.

User-selection of the exit softkey from the menu is modelled by the arc 52/55 leading from the simple node 52 the exit node 55 and carrying condition of K=4.

Suitable network models for the application sub-networks represented by nodes 42, 44 and for the dialogue models represented by the communication nodes 43, 45, 47 and 54 will be apparent to persons skilled in the art on a reading of the foregoing description and will therefore not be described in detail hereinafter.

The operation of the present interface design tool takes place in two distinct phases. The first phase involves the construction, by interactive graphical techniques, of application and dialogue models of the form described with respect to Figure 2 and 3 together with the specification of variables to be used with the models and conditions and actions to be associated with the arcs of the models. The second phase involves the simulation of instrument user interface by stepping through the application and dialogue models and presenting on the display 19 of the computer workstation a simulation of the instrument interface as seen by the user at each stage and as defined by the appropriate actions associated with the arcs of the dialogue models. Before describing in detail the programs used to control these two phases of operation of interface design tool, it will be useful first to describe the data structures employed to store the application and dialogue models produced during the first phase and utilised during the second, simulation phase.

Referring now to Figure 4, the details of each network whether it be the top-level application model, an application sub-network model, or a dialogue model are stored in a respective network file 60. This network file 60 contains the network name by which the network and file are identified, the network type (application or dialogue), an arc table 61 containing details of each arc making up the network concerned, a node table 62 detailing the nodes of the network, and a variable table 63 listing the variables used in the network.

Each node in the node table 62 is detailed in a data structure 67 that contains the following items;

1) An indication of the node type (start, end, simple, application subnet, or communication node);

2) The name of the node;

3) The graphical position of the node on the screen (the node screen position is related to a notional screen grid the intersections of which define potential centre points for the nodes, the node position being specified as a reference number associated with a particular grid intersection) notional screen grid referred to above.

Each arc in the arc table 61 is represented by a data structure 64 containing the following items:

1) The identity of the arc starting node as represented by the number of that node in the node table;

2) The identity of the arc end node as indicated by the node index in the node table;

3) The name of the arc;

4) The condition set on the arc, if any;

5) A pointer to a data structure 65 identifying the action associated with the arc, if any.

The data structure 65 contains the name of the action to be taken and a pointer to a link list 66 of the arguments relating to the named action.

Figure 5 is a flowchart of the main control program of the IDT software. This program basically controls migration between the network-editing phase and the network simulation phase.

Upon loading of the main program 27 (block 70 in Figure 5) the user is first asked whether he wishes to work with an existing network model or to create a new one (block 71); in the former case the user inputs the name of the existing network and the corresponding network file is loaded into a working memory of the workstation (block 72) whereas in the latter case the user inputs the name of the new network model to be created and the main program opens a new file for that network (block 73).

22

10

15

20

25

0

5

2

7

A full simulation of the instrument's functionality can be achieved by defining actions on the application network arcs that correspond to the tasks carried out by the instrument.

The definition of the dialogue-network actions take the form of action names that refer to library routines for simulating particular interface types. Furthermore, each action will generally have one or more associated arguments defining the detailed implementation required of a specified interface type. Thus, for example, in the present example it is desired to simulate an instrument in which the interface output involves use of softkeys and use of text output. This can be achieved by provided two library routines, one for defining softkeys and one for creating text output. The arguments of the softkey action call may, for example, be the number of softkeys required and the softkey legends; the arguments for text line action may be the desired text and the screen coordinates for where the text is to appear.

A similar library of routines may be used to define the arc actions of the application network model. However, generally these routines will be dummy routines rather than ones actually following the instrument functionality in every detail.

In the present interface design example for the instrument 30 of Figure 1, dummy routines may be provided to simulate the application tasks of voltage measurement (part of the V-MEAS sub-network) current measurement (part of the I-MEAS sub-network) and the ALLPOINTS check on arc 41/46.

To run a simulation, the NEST program is first loaded together with the starting network for the simulation (this may be either the top-level application network if a simulation of the whole interface is required, or some other network if only a simulation of part of the interface is to be effected). As illustrated in Figure 7, the simulation program NEST then carries out a simulation by utilising the application and dialogue models concerned (100 and 101), the library of interface action routines 102, the system software 103, and the library of dummy instrument-task routines 104.

The operation of the simulation program NEST will now be described in detail with reference to the flowchart of Figure 8. On loading of the program, the display screen 19 is split into a network window for displaying the selected network and a simulation window for displaying the user interface simulation (these actions are embodied in block 110 of Figure 8).

The simulation program establishes a current position for the simulation and at the start of a simulation this will be the starting node of the current network (block 111); this "current position" is indicated to the user by highlighting of the appropriate network node.

To progress through the network and thereby run a simulation, the user first selects whether he wishes to carry out the simulation in the "walk through" or "prototype" mode (block 112). The mode selected remains set until changed.

The program then proceeds to identify the arc next to be traversed (block 113). The manner of this identification will depend on the simulation mode selected. In the case of the "walkthrough" mode, the next arc to be traversed is indicated by pointing to the terminating node of that arc using the mouse 18. If the prototype mode has been selected then the arc next to be traversed will depend on the conditions on the arc and the current states of the simulation variables as set by user input and simulated instrument-executed tasks. Once the arc to be followed has been identified, the program traverses the arc and executes any action of the arc (block 114). As already indicated, the execution of an action will involved reference either to the library of application routines 104 if the current model is an application model, or to the library of interface routines 102 if the current model is a dialogue model. Where a dialogue-network arc action specifies a user-interface simulation, this simulation is displayed in simulation window.

Having traversed an arc, the "current position" is updated to that of the arc-end node with the latter being illuminated in the network window. Thereafter the node type is examined (blocks 115, 116 and 117) and appropriate action taken. If the node is a communications node or an application sub-network node then the corresponding dialogue network or application sub-network is automatically loaded (blocks 118, 119) and replaces the parent network in the network window. In order to keep track of this nesting of networks, the program both records the last "current position" in the parent network and also keeps account of the nesting depth (blocks 120 and 121). Once the new network has been loaded and the nesting housekeeping operations have been performed, the program loops back to block 111 to continue the simulation by starting at the start node of the new network.

If the arc-end node is a simple node rather than a communications or subnet node then the program-loops-back-to-block-112-for-mode-selection and determination of the next arc to be traversed.

If the arc-end node is not a communications, a subnet node, or a simple node, it is assumed to be an end node in which case the program checks the current nesting depth to see if the network just completed was the top-level one for the current simulation. If the nesting depth is zero (block 122), then the simulation program is terminated. On the other hand, if the nesting depth is not zero the next level network is reloaded and displayed in the network window; at the same time, the nesting depth is updated and the "current position" is indicated in accordance with the stored position for the new network (see blocks 123 and 124). The simulation program then loops back to block 112.

By way of example, consideration will now given to running a simulation of the networks of Figures 2 and 3. Assuming that initially the application model network of Figure 2 is loaded, then initially this network will be displayed in the network window with its starting node highlighted. The simulation window will be blank.

If the walkthrough mode is selected, the simulation is progressed by the user using the mouse 18 to indicate in the network window, node 41 thereby defining the arc 40/41 as the next arc to be traversed. This arc is then traversed but no action is executed as none has been specified on the arc. The current position is then advanced to node 41.

Since node 41 is a communications node, the simulation program proceeds to load the corresponding dialogue network, this being the MENU dialogue network of Figure 3A. The dialogue network is displayed in the network window as is illustrated in Figure 1. The new current position is node 50 of the dialogue model. Walkthrough then continues with the arc 50/51 being traversed. This results in the execution of an action for setting up softkeys with the arguments of this action being four softkeys with the menu selection choices ascribed to these keys. In order to execute the action, the simulation program refers to the library of interface routines and selects the softkey routine therefrom; this routine together with the arguments stored in the node table entry for the node 51 result in a simulation of the instrument display appearing in the simulation window (as illustrated in Figure 1). Once this action is completed the current position is moved on to node 51 with the latter being highlighted in the network window.

The simulation is then progressed by the user pointing to node 52 to cause arc 50/52 to be traversed, the action on this arc being the setting of the simulation to receive an input by simulated operation of the simulated softkeys using the mouse 18 and cursor 95. The current position is advanced to node 52.

From node 52 there are three possible exit arcs each carrying its own condition. While the simulation remains in walkthrough mode, the input action set up on traversing arc 51/52 and the conditions set on the arc exiting node 52 are not relevant since the exit from node 52 is defined by the user by selection of the next node in the network window. Thus, for example, the user may select node 53 which causes the MENU dialogue network to be replaced in the network window by the HELP dialogue network of Figure 3B. In this case, the display in the simulation window does in fact remain the same until walkthrough of the HELP dialogue network is carried out when the four softkeys are replaced by a single softkey labelled "PROCEED" and HELP text is displayed on the screen.

Further description of the operation program in its walkthrough mode will not be given as such further operation will be apparent to persons skilled in the art.

Consideration will now be given to running of the simulation program in its "prototype" mode. In this case, the path followed through a network is determined by user input to the interface simulation displayed in the simulation window and by the conditions set on the network arcs.

Assuming the prototype mode is selected immediately following loading of the application model of Figure 2, the program will run the simulation through to node 52 of the Figure 3A dialogue network automatically and there wait for the condition of one of the exit arcs from this node to be satisfied before proceeding further. This automatic progression through the networks to node 52 is advantageously arranged to take place on a step by step basis with a pause between each step so that the user can follow the progression of the simulation.

In order to satisfy a condition one of the exit arcs from node 52, the user must simulate operation of one of the softkeys in the simulation window by pointing to that key using the mouse 18. This action sets a value of 1 to 4 to a variable K defined in the variable table of the network file of the MENU dialogue model. This variable is global inasmuch it is not only used in the MENU dialogue model but also in the first-level application level.

If, for example, the simulated "exit" softkey is chosen, a value of four is ascribed to the variable K with the result that the condition on arc 52/55 is satisfied and this arc is traversed by the simulation program. On reaching the node 55, the simulation program returns to the application network Figure and places network in the network window; the display in the simulation window remains set at the menu softkey simulation.

The "current position" in the application network upon reloading of the latter is the node 41. This node has three exit arcs with conditions on these arcs based on the variable K. In the present case, with K=4, the simulation automatically exits node 41 and traverses the arc 41/46 to node 46. The action associated with this arc is the check that all test points have been accessed; this action may or may not be modelled in the simulation. If the action is not modelled then the user must revert to the walkthrough mode in order to specify the exit arc desired from node 46. However, the action may be modelled either by the dummy routine or by a full routine. The dummy routine may, for example, be arranged to alternate between indicating that all points have been checked and the converse, this indication being by way of a variable ALLPOINTS defined in the variable table of the network file of the application model.

If the dummy or actual routine is provided for the ALLPOINTS check action and this routine returns the variable ALLPOINTS then, once the action has been completed, the simulation will exit the node 46 via the arc 46/47 or 46/48 in dependence on the state of the variable ALLPOINTS.

If the variable ALLPOINTS is set True, then the "current position" is set to the end node 48. However, if the variable ALLPOINTS is set False, then the CONFIRM dialogue network is loaded and displayed in the network window. This network is, for example, arranged to reset the softkeys to display two keys in the simulation window respectively labelled "CONFIRM" and "CANCEL". The simulated operation of these simulated keys is arranged to set a variable CONFIRM either true or false and return operation to the top-level application model. The state of the variable CONFIRM will then determine the exit arc followed from the node 47.

The further running of the simulation program in its prototype mode will be apparent to persons skilled in the art and is not therefore described herein.

From the foregoing it can be seen that the interface design tool permits the rapid modelling of the functionality and user-interface of an instrument or other program-controlled apparatus. Simulation of the actual user interface itself is then achieved by introducing appropriate actions on the arcs of the dialogue model (in the simplest case) and possibly also the introduction of actions and conditions on the arcs. The ability to be able to follow through the progress of the simulation both by reference to the network models in the network window and by reference to the simulation display in the simulation window greatly facilitates user interface evaluation. Furthermore, the division of the model of the instrument's functionality into an application model and a plurality of dialogue net-

work models greatly eases the modification of the user interface design since such modification simply involves accessing the appropriate dialogue models rather than having to upset the underlying application model.

It will be appreciated that various modifications to the described interface design tool are possible. Thus, for example, when running the simulation program in its walkthrough mode, the indication of the network arc to be followed may be done more than one arc at a time by pointing to a node further through the network and arranging for the program to work out the route to that node; this of course, is only possible where the route is unambiguous. Another alternative is to allow the walkthrough process to progress automatically through the current network and only stop to await user guidance when a node with multiple exit arcs is encountered.

To assist the user in keeping track of the nesting of the application sub-networks and dialogue networks, provision can be made both during the network editing phase and the simulation phase, for displaying a list of currently-nested networks. In the editing phase, further provision may be made for transferring from the currently-displayed network to a selected one of the networks in the displayed nesting list.

The general approach described above for running the user interface simulation can, in fact, also be used to manage the operation of a program-controlled apparatus such as the instrument 30 subject of the above described simulation. Thus, with reference to Figure 9, the operation of the apparatus could be modelled by means of application and dialogue models 130, 131 in the manner already described with progress through these models being managed by network manager 132 that functions in a manner similar to the NEST program 29. Where the application model 130 calls for an apparatus-executed task, this is implemented by the network manager 132 by calling up an appropriate block of application code 133. Similarly user-interface actions called for by the dialogue models 131 are implemented by the network manager 132 by calling up the appropriate dialogue code 134.

In order to accommodate users of varying degrees of proficiency, a plurality of sets of dialogue models can be provided each aimed at a particular level of user proficiency. The selection of which set of dialogue models is used could be effected by the user himself or could be the subject of intelligent selection by the network manager based on past experience with the current user.

Claims

1. A method of simulating, on a computer, a user interface for a program-controlled apparatus arranged to operate in accordance with a program that includes both apparatus-executed tasks and sessions of user interaction intended to be effected via input and output means of said apparatus, characterised in that said method comprises the steps of:

-generating and storing a first network model (40 to 48) representing said program with each session of user interaction being indicated by a corresponding element (41,43,45,47) of said first model;
-running a simulation of the user interface by advancing through said first network model and upon encountering a said user-interaction element (41,43,45,47), entering and following through the appropriate one of said second network models (50 to 55), the said set of parameters (65,66) associated with each said element encountered during transition through a second network model (50 to 55) being used to construct, on output means (19) of the computer, a simulation of the desired state of the output means of said apparatus (30).

2. A method according to claim 1, wherein during passage through a said second model (50 to 55) while simulating said interface, the output means (19) of the computer is used to display the second model (50 to 55) and the progress of the simulation therethrough.

3. A method according to claim 2, wherein the output means of the computer comprises a single display (19) used to represent both the second network model (50 to 55) and the simulation of the current state of the output means of said apparatus (30).

4. A method according to claim 2, wherein the output means (19) of the computer comprises respective display devices for representing both the second network model (50 to 55) and the simulation of the current state of the output means of said apparatus.

5. A method according to claim 1, wherein in order to represent a user interaction session the course of which is determined by user input during the session, the step of generating and storing said second network models includes the generation and storage of a said second network model (50 to 55) with more than one possible path therethrough; the step of interface simulation including, during passage through the multiple-path second network model, the determination of the path to be followed through the model by operator input to the computer to indicate directly the path desired.

6. A method according to claim 1, wherein in order to represent a user interaction session the course of which is determined by user input during

the session, the step of generating and storing said second network models includes the generation and storage of a said second network model (50 to 55) with more than one possible path therethrough together with decision criteria for determining which said path is taken; the step of interface simulation including, during passage through the multi-path second network model, the simulation of said user input by an operator input to the computer and the determination of the appropriate path through the network model by applying said criteria to the simulated user input.

7. A method according to claim 1, wherein in order to represent a program which has its path between apparatus-executed tasks determined by user input during a said user interaction session, the step of generating and storing said first network model (40 to 48) includes the generation and storage of a network model with more than one possible path therethrough; the step of interface simulation including the determination of the path to be followed through the first network model by operator input to the computer to indicate directly the desired path through the

8. A model according to claim 1, wherein in order to represent a program which has its path between apparatus-executed tasks determined by user input during a said user interaction session, the step of generating and storing said first network model (40 to 48) includes the generation and storage of a network model with more than one possible path therethrough together with decision criteria for determining which said path is taken; the step of interface simulation including:

-during passage through a said second network model (50 to 55), the simulation of a said user input by an operator input to the computer, and the storage of a variable indicative of said input; and
-the determination of the appropriate path through the first network model (40 to 48) by applying said criteria to the simulated user input.

9. A method according to claim 1, wherein the step of generating and storing a set of second network models (50 to 55) is repeated to provide simulations of a plurality of different sets of user interaction session, the step of simulating the user interface including the selection of one of said sets of second network models from which to call up the second network model to be used upon a user-interaction element being encountered during progress through said first model.

10. A method according to claim 1, including the further step of providing a library (102) of simulations for simulating different forms of output-means for said apparatus (30), the said set of parameters including a parameter (65) for selecting the output means to be simulated, and the step of running the simulation including accessing said li-

brary in accordance with the current output-means selection parameter whereby to simulate the appropriate output means.

11. In a program-controlled apparatus having input and output means for permitting user interaction sessions with an application program intended to be run or modelled on said apparatus, an arrangement for managing the user/program interaction comprising:
- first network modelling means (130) representing said program with each session of user interaction being indicated by a corresponding element of said first modelling means;
 - a set of second network modelling means (131) each representing a respective one of said interaction sessions, each second network modelling means comprising a network of elements each having an associated set of parameters defining a desired state of said output means,
 - control means (132) arranged to utilise said first modelling means (130) to control the actual or simulated running of the application program, said control means (132) being operative upon encountering a said user-interaction element in the first modelling means, to refer to the appropriate one of said second network modelling means (131) to control the said output means in executing a user interaction session, the said set of parameters associated with each said element of the second modelling means being used to set the output means in its desired state.

35

40

45

50

55




FIG 1

FIG 2




FIG 3A





FIG 3B






FIG 4

FIG 5





FIG 6






FIG 7




FIG 9




FIG 8



European Patent
Office

EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number

EP 87 30 5948

DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int. Cl. 4)
A	IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON SOFTWARE ENGINEERING, vol. SE-12, no. 1, January 1986, pages 147-156, IEEE, New York, US; A.I. WASSERMAN et al.: "Building reliable interactive information systems" * Page 148, left-hand column, line 31 - page 148, right-hand column, line 45; page 150, right-hand column, lines 54-57; page 152, right-hand column, line 23 - page 154, right-hand column, line 14 *	1-3,5-11	G 06 F 9/44 G 06 F 15/60 G 06 F 11/26
A	IBM JOURNAL OF RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT, vol. 29, no. 4, July 1985, pages 343-354, Armonk, New York, US; H. ENGELKE et al.: "Integrated manufacturing modeling system" * Page 347, left-hand column, line 22 - page 352, left-hand column, line 50; figures 5,10,11,13 *	1-10	
A	PROCEEDINGS OF THE IEEE 1985 NATIONAL AEROSPACE AND ELECTRONICS CONFERENCE NAECON 1985, Dayton, 20th-24th May 1985, vol. 1, pages 826-833, IEEE, New York, US; W. COOPER: "Using SAINT in performance analysis of complex hardware/software systems" * Page 827, right-hand column, line 1 - page 828, right-hand column, line 24; figures 1,2 *	1,5-9,11	TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int. Cl. 4) G 06 F 9/00 G 06 F 15/00 G 06 F 11/00
The present search report has been drawn up for all claims			

Place of search
THE HAGUE

Date of completion of the search
07-12-1987

Examiner
POPIEAU G.J.P.

CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS

X : particularly relevant if taken alone
Y : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category
A : technological background
O : non-written disclosure
P : intermediate document

T : theory or principle underlying the invention
E : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date
D : document cited in the application
L : document cited for other reasons

& : member of the same patent family, corresponding document



DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int. Cl.4)
A	IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON SOFTWARE ENGINEERING, vol. SE-12, no. 2, February 1986, pages 326-345, IEEE, New York, US; A.I. WASSERMAN et al.: "Developing interactive information systems with the user software engineering methodology" ---		
A	COMPUTER, vol. 18, no. 8, August 1985, pages 87-94, IEEE, New York, US; B.. MELAMED et al.: "Visual simulation: the performance analysis workstation" ---		
A	NAVY TECHNICAL DISCLOSURE BULLETIN, vol. 8, no. 1, September 1982, pages 77-80, Washington, US; K. LINDENBERG et al.: "Simulated test equipment" -----		
			TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int. Cl.4)
The present search report has been drawn up for all claims			
Place of search THE HAGUE		Date of completion of the search 07-12-1987	Examiner POPINEAU G.J.P.
CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS			
X : particularly relevant if taken alone Y : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category A : technological background O : non-written disclosure P : intermediate document T : theory or principle underlying the invention E : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date D : document cited in the application L : document cited for other reasons & : member of the same patent family, corresponding document			

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)